

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/540,749 | 06/24/2005 | Akinori Ito | 100021-00157 | 9204 |
| 4372 ARENT FOX I | 7590 01/05/200 PLLC | 7 | EXAMINER | |
| 1050 CONNEC | CTICUT AVENUE, N. | W . | VALENROD, YEVGENY | |
| SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20036 | | N. | ART UNIT | . PAPER NUMBER |
| | ., | | 1621 | |
| | | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 3 MO | NTHS | . 01/05/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summer | 10/540,749 | ITO ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Yevgeny Valenrod | 1621 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | • | , | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/24/0 | 05. | • | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| | _ | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | • | | | | | |
| | | • | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | 6) Claim(s) 3 is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | alaction requirement | | | | | |
| o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| = -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (| | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/17/06; 6/24/05.</u> | 6) Other: | atent Application | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

DETALED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee et al. (*J Med. Chem.* **1984**, 27, 1579-1587).

Lee et al disclose compound 50 (page 1580 column 2, Scheme 2). The said compound meets the structural limitations of the instantly claimed acylbenzylamine derivative represented by the formula (7) when R⁴ represents a hydrogen atom.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ozaki et al. (WO01/10825, disclosure of the corresponding US patent 6,812,229 is used as reference).

In column 47, line 22, Ozaki et al disclose methyl N-(2-chloro-5-acetylbenzyl)carbamate. The said compound meets all the structural limitations of the instant claim 3.

Art Unit: 1621

Allowable subject matter

Claims 1 and 2 are allowed. Acylation of halobenzenes in known in the art (House H. O. Modern Synthetic Reactions 2nd edition, 1972, Benjamin inc. page 798, first example). However, the search of prior art has failed to uncover references that anticipate, or render obvious acylation of 2-alkyl amino halobenzenes as is claimed in the instant application. Modifying the example in House by adding an aminoalkyl substituent to the halobenzene is not obvious because 1) amines are known to spoil Lewis acid catalysts, and because 2) adding groups to a substituted benzene can have an effect on the regioselectivity of an aromatic substitution reaction.

Conclusion

Claim 3 is rejected

Claims 1 and 2 are allowed

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yevgeny Valenrod whose telephone number is 571-272-9049. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,749

Art Unit: 1621

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Yevgeny Valenrod Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

Thurman Page

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600